

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,467	11/20/2003	Peter B. Rim	H0006488-4820	1047
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Richard S. Roberts			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
Roberts & Mer	canti, L.L.P.			
P.O. Box 484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08542-0484			1771	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4v/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/718,467	RIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew T. Piziali	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	lugust 2005.					
·	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 27 is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7 and 27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-26 and 28-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	эг.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau		ved in this ivational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved				
	<b>9. 11.0 90.11.1.2</b> 22 <b>.</b> 0.2.1.2.1.1.					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) []] Interview 0	(272.440)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/2003.	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Taper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/2003.	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1 in the reply filed on 8/31/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the examiner failed to show a distinction between the species. This is not found persuasive because the term "distinct" means that two or more subjects as disclosed are related, but are capable of separate manufacture, use, or sale as claimed, and are patentable over each other (see MPEP 802.01). The species identified in restriction requirement mailed on 8/22/2005 are related (both are composite textile articles) but are capable of separate manufacture, use, or sale (as separate garments), and are patentable over each other (because each species claims distinct characteristics, such as permeable/impermeable front/back outer fabrics). Claims 7 and 27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species. It is noted that the applicant indicated that claim 26 appeared to read on the non-elected species, but claim 26 actually reads on the claimed species and thus has been examined.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## **Drawings**

2. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See Figures 1-3 of USPN 5,902,384 and MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled

Art Unit: 1771

"Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

3. The use of trademarks, such as SPECTRA SHIELD, GOLD SHIELD, Z SHIELD, KEVLAR, SPECTRA, and VELCRO have been noted in this application (see entire specification including pages 10-13). They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner that might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 8-22, 24, 26 and 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,461,724 to Wiedner et al. (hereinafter referred to as Wiedner) in view of USPN 5,902,384 to Rohrbach et al. (hereinafter referred to as Rohrbach).

Regarding claims 1-2, 4, 6, 8-22, 24, 26 and 28-34, Wiedner discloses a composite textile

Art Unit: 1771

article which comprises: a) a sheet of a central textile fabric (2) having a front side and a rear side wherein said sheet of central textile fabric is water vapor permeable; b) a pair of sheets of outer textile fabrics, one of the sheets (1) of outer textile fabrics positioned on the front side of the sheet of central textile fabric and the other sheet (3) of outer textile fabric positioned on the rear side of the sheet of central textile fabric wherein each sheet of outer textile fabric is water vapor permeable; c) the sheet of central textile fabric and the pair of sheets of outer textile fabrics being attached together via a sealed hem around a perimeter of the sheet of central textile fabric and the pair of sheets of outer textile fabrics, which hem is sealed (see entire document including column 1, lines 56-65, column 2, lines 38-47, column 5, lines 51-61, column 8, line 67 through column 9, line 23, and the Figures).

Wiedner does not specifically mention the use of central textile fibers comprising semiopened micro-cavities that have been impregnated with at least one biological and/or chemical
decontamination reagent, but Wiedner does disclose that the object of the invention is to provide
an article of clothing which may be used in the chemical field and which effectively prevents the
passage of substances, such as micro-organisms, through the article of clothing (column 1, lines
43-55). Wiedner also discloses that the central layer may comprise material that absorbs the
substance (column 3, lines 28-37). Rohrbach discloses that it is known in the chemical
microorganism absorbent fiber art to use fibers having semi-opened micro-cavities that have
been impregnated with at least one chemical decontamination reagent in an amount sufficient to
chemically modify, neutralize and/or decontaminate chemical contaminants (see entire document
including (column 1, line 53 through column 2, line 34, column 3, lines 36-64, and Figures 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 1771

made to use the fibers taught by Rohrbach within the central textile fabric, because the fibers would chemically modify, neutralize and/or decontaminate chemical contaminants and because it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability and desired characteristics.

Regarding claims 2 and 22, Rohrbach discloses that the fibers may comprise polymeric multilobal fibers that have a central core having a plurality of T-shaped lobes projecting therefrom, each of said T-shaped lobes having a leg and a cap, said lobes defining a longitudinally extending internal cavity between two adjacent legs that extends the entire length of the fiber (column 3, lines 36-64 and Figure 3).

Regarding claims 4 and 24, Wiedner discloses that the same type of chemical materials may be used in all of the layers so that the shrinkage of the various layers and their behavior when sterilized are similar (column 7, lines 47-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make at least one of the sheets of outer textile fabrics from the fibers disclosed by Rohrbach, so that the shrinkage of the various layers and their behavior when sterilized are similar and because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability and desired characteristics.

Regarding claims 6, 8, 10, 26, 28 and 30, Wiedner discloses that each sheet of outer textile fabric may be liquid impermeable (column 1, lines 56-65).

Regarding claims 9-10 and 29-30, Wiedner discloses that the central textile fabric may be liquid impermeable (column 3, lines 38-54).

Art Unit: 1771

Regarding claims 12-14, Rohrbach discloses that the fibers may be impregnated with chemical decontamination reagent powder particles (column 3, lines 36-64).

Regarding claim 13, Rohrbach discloses that the powder particles may be infused between said fibers and in said cavities, said powder particles being of such a size and shape that they are retained within each cavity (column 3, lines 50-64 and Figure 2).

Regarding claim 14, Weidner discloses that the powder particles may range in size from about 1 micron to about 10 microns (column 3, lines 50-64 and Figures 2-3).

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Weidner discloses that the chemical decontamination reagent may comprise one or more materials such as sodium permanganate, sodium carbonate, sodium phosphate, activated carbon, zeolites, baking soda, cyclodextrins, PTFE, or any other material of interest (column 2, lines 6-14, column 3, lines 23-26 and 58-64, and column 6, lines 25-42).

Regarding claims 17-18 and 31-32, Weidner discloses that the composite textile article may be part of a garment or head covering and that a breathable atmosphere may be passed through the composite to thereby chemically modify, neutralize and/or decontaminate chemical contaminants from the breathable atmosphere. (column 1, lines 1-16 and 43-55, column 2, lines 38-47, and column 3, lines 12-27).

Regarding claims 19-20 and 33-34, Weidner discloses that the composite textile article may be part of a flexible garment wherein the shape is configured to contain all or part of a human body wherein a cutout exists through the flexible fabric material, wherein a panel is removably attached around a periphery of the cutout by a hook and burr fastener, and wherein

Art Unit: 1771

the panel comprises the composite textile article (column 1, lines 1-16 and 43-55, and column 5, lines 27-35).

6. Claims 3, 5, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,461,724 to Wiedner in view of USPN 5,902,384 to Rohrbach as applied to claims 1-2, 4, 6, 8-22, 24, 26 and 28-34 above, and further in view of USPN 5,597,645 to Pike et al. (hereinafter referred to as Pike).

Wiedner does not specifically mention impregnating the central textile fabric or at least one of the sheets of outer textile fabrics with at least one chemical decontamination reagent, but Pike discloses that it is known in the gas/liquid textile fabric filter art to add chemical absorbent particles within a fiber matrix to enhance the performance of the filter media (see entire document including column 9, line 65 through column 10, line 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add chemical absorbent particles within the central textile fabric and/or at least one sheet of outer textile fabric to enhance the performance of the filter media.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

atp

ANDREWT. PIZIALI